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## Note on the Length of This Problem Set

Each question in Problems 4.2-4.4 below can be answered with a one-sentence argument or a single line of calculations (except for two questions ones marked with an asterisk ("*")). So don't try to be overly formal; our goal here is to find concise arguments that will help you get an intuition for several important informationtheoretical concepts. But pay attention to details: some relations are surprisingly subtle.

## How to Use This Problem Set to Study for the Exam

In Problems 4.2-4.4 below, you will derive several important information-theoretical relations, which are summarized in the figure on the right. ${ }^{1}$

When you first solve this problem set, you should use it as an opportunity to recap and expand on the content of the lecture; later, you'll be able to refer back to this problem set and the figure on the
 right as a self-contained reference sheet of important information-theoretical relations.

## Problem 4.1: Statistical Independence

In the lecture, we formalized a probabilistic model of our Simplified Game of Monopoly, which consists of throwing two fair three-sided dice (a red one and a blue one) and then recording their sum. For completeness, here's the model:

- sample space: $\Omega=\{(a, b)$ where $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}\}$
- sigma algebra: $\Sigma=2^{\Omega}:=\{$ all subsets of $\Omega$ (including $\emptyset$ and $\left.\Omega)\right\}$
- probability measure $P$ : for all $E \in \Sigma$, let $P(E):=|E| /|\Omega|=|E| / 9$

We further defined three random variables, i.e., functions from $\Omega$ to $\mathbb{R}$ :

- total value of a dice throw: $X_{\text {sum }}((a, b))=a+b$
- value of the red die: $X_{\text {red }}((a, b))=a$
- value of the blue die: $X_{\text {blue }}((a, b))=b$

[^0]Now, verify the following claims from the lecture:
(a) Convince yourself that $P$ is a valid probability measure (i.e., $P(\Omega)=1, P(\emptyset)=0$, and $P$ satisfies countable additivity).
(b) Show that $X_{\text {red }}$ and $X_{\text {blue }}$ are statistically independent.
(c) Show that $X_{\text {red }}$ and $X_{\text {sum }}$ are not statistically independent.

## Problem 4.2: Joint and Conditional Information Content

In the lecture, we identified the quantity " $-\log _{2} P(X=x)$ " as the information content of the statement " $X=x$ " (meaning "the random variable $X$ has value $x$ ") w.r.t. a probability distribution $P$. We further discussed in Lecture 2 that the information content of a given (long) message is the bit rate (up to tiny corrections) that one would obtain when compressing the message with a lossless code that is optimal for the model $P$. In this problem, you'll analyze how many bits each symbol in the message contributes to the information content (and therefore the bit rate) of the full message.

We'll only look at two random variables $X$ and $Y$ here. The generalization to more than two random variables is analogous. We further assume that $X$ and $Y$ are both discrete since we didn't define information content for continuous random variables.
(a) Joint Information Content: In the notation introduced in the lecture, the joint information content of the statement " $X=x$ and $Y=y$ " or, equivalently, the information content of the statement " $(X, Y)=(x, y)$ ", can be written as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\log _{2} P(X=x, Y=y) & :=-\log _{2} P((X, Y)=(x, y)) \\
& =-\log _{2} P(\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)=x \wedge Y(\omega)=y\}) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Argue why the joint information content of " $(X, Y)=(x, y)$ " is not smaller than the information content of " $X=x$ " and not smaller than the information content of " $Y=y$ " (hint: the information content of " $X=x$ " is $-\log _{2} P(X=x)=$ $-\log _{2} P(\{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)=x\})$; identify a superset-subset relationship $)$.
(b) Marginal and Conditional Information Content: We refer to the information content of " $X=x$ " alone, $-\log _{2} P(X=x)$, as the marginal information content. We further define the conditional information content of " $Y=y$ " given $X=x$ as $-\log _{2} P(Y=y \mid X=x)$, where $P(Y=y \mid X=x):=P(X=x, Y=y) / P(X=x)$ as defined in the lecture. Show the chain rule of information content, which states:

The joint information content of " $(X, Y)=(x, y)$ " is the sum of the marginal information content of " $X=x$ " and the conditional information content of " $Y=y$ " given $X=x$.
What does this imply for lossless compression? If you want to compress the two symbols $x$ and $y$ in an optimal way, and you want to encode one after the other, what probabilistic model should you use for encoding $x$ and $y$, respectively.
(c*) Nonadditivity of Marginal Information Content: In Problem 2.3 (b) of Problem Set 2, you showed (although using different notation) that if $X$ and $Y$ are statistically independent, then the joint information content of " $(X, Y)=(x, y)$ " is the sum of the two marginal information contents of " $X=x$ " and " $Y=y$ ". This statement is not necessarily true if $X$ and $Y$ are not statistically independent.

Provide examples of simple probabilistic models
(i) where the sum of the two marginal information contents of " $X=x$ " and " $Y=y$ " for some $x$ and $y$ is larger than the joint information content of $"(X, Y)=(x, y) "$; and
(ii) where the sum of the two marginal information contents of " $X=x$ " and " $Y=y$ " for some $x$ and $y$ is smaller than the joint information content of $"(X, Y)=(x, y) "$.
For both cases (i) and (ii), use the chain rule of information content from part (b) to relate the marginal information content $-\log _{2} P(Y=y)$ to the conditional information content $-\log _{2} P(Y=y \mid X=x)$. Does conditioning on $X=x$ increase or reduce the information content in each of the two cases?

Note: You will show below that one of these cases (i) or (ii) can be regarded as the "typical" case whereas the other one is somewhat of an exception. Using your intuition about information content, can you guess which case is the typical one?

## Problem 4.3: Joint and Conditional Entropy

In the lecture, we defined the entropy $H_{P}(X)$ of a random variable $X$ as its expected information content, i.e., $H_{P}(X)=\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[-\log _{2} P(X)\right]$. Analogous to Problem 4.2, where we analyzed interactions between information contents of two random variables $X$ and $Y$, let's now analyze interactions between their entropies. We will again assume that $X$ and $Y$ are discrete random variables since entropy is not defined for continuous random variables (only a so-called differential entropy is defined for these).
(a) Joint Entropy: The joint entropy of $X$ and $Y$ is simply the entropy of the tuple $(X, Y)$ (interpreted as a random variable that maps $\omega \mapsto(X(\omega), Y(\omega))$ ). We will explicitly denote the joint entroy as $H_{P}((X, Y))$ (with double braces) to highlight the distinction from the cross entropy. ${ }^{2}$ Argue, by applying what you've shown in Problem $4.2\left(\right.$ a), that $H_{P}((X, Y)) \geq H_{P}(X)$ and that $H_{P}((X, Y)) \geq H_{P}(Y)$.

Marginal and Conditional Entropy: The entropy of $X$ alone, $H_{P}(X)$, is also called the marginal entropy. We further define two kinds of conditional entropies:
(b*) $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ denotes the conditional entropy of $Y$ if we know that $X$ takes a specific value $x$. In other words, $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ is the entropy of the distribution

[^1]$P(Y \mid X=x)$, interpreted as a distribution over values of $Y$. It is thus given by
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{P}(Y \mid X=x) & =\mathbb{E}_{P(Y \mid X=x)}\left[-\log _{2} P(Y \mid X=x)\right]  \tag{2}\\
& =-\sum_{y} P(Y=y \mid X=x) \log _{2} P(Y=y \mid X=x) .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Show (by providing an example for both cases) that $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ can be both larger and smaller than $H_{P}(Y)$.
Note: In Problem 4.4 (c) below, you will show that, in expectation over $X$, the conditional entropy $H_{P}(Y \mid X)$ (see Eq. 3 below) cannot be larger than the marginal entropy $H_{P}(Y)$. Thus, conditioning on some $X=x$ typically reduces the entropy of $Y$, but there may be some specific values of $x$ where the opposite is the case.
(c) The notation $H_{P}(Y \mid X)$ denotes the expected conditional entropy, i.e., the expectation value of $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ from part (b), where the expectation is taken over $x$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{P}(Y \mid X) & =\sum_{x} P(X=x) H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)  \tag{3}\\
& =-\sum_{x} P(X=x) \sum_{y} P(Y=y \mid X=x) \log _{2} P(Y=y \mid X=x) \\
& =-\sum_{x, y} P(X=x, Y=y) \log _{2} P(Y=y \mid X=x) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[-\log _{2} P(Y \mid X)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Use the chain rule of information content from Problem 4.2 (b) to show the chain rule of the entropy (visualized in the lower parts of the figure on page 1 ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{P}((X, Y))=H_{P}(X)+H_{P}(Y \mid X)=H_{P}(Y)+H_{P}(X \mid Y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) What are the joint entropy $H_{P}((X, Y))$ and the two types of conditional entropy, $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ and $H_{P}(Y \mid X)$, if the two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are statistically independent, i.e., if $P(X, Y)=P(X) P(Y)$ ?

## Problem 4.4: Mutual Information and Subadditivity of Entropies

We now show that entropies of two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are subadditive, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{P}((X, Y)) \leq H_{P}(X)+H_{P}(Y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an important result as it implies that modeling symbols in a message independently leads to suboptimal compression performance. As discussed in the lecture, one should instead consider a probabilistic model of the entire message.

To show Eq. 5, we define the mutual information $I_{P}(X ; Y)$ between $X$ and $Y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{P}(X ; Y):=H_{P}(X)+H_{P}(Y)-H_{P}((X, Y)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

See the first two rows of the figure on page 1. We then show that $I_{P}(X ; Y) \geq 0$ :
(a) Convince yourself that the mutual information can be expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{P}(X ; Y)=\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[\log _{2} \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(X) P(Y)}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then use Eq. 2 from last week's problem set to express $I_{P}(X ; Y)$ as a KullbackLeibler divergence between two distributions (which two?). Thus, $I_{P}(X ; Y) \geq 0$ since Kullback-Leibler divergences are nonnegative, as you proved in Problem 3.1 (b).

While we're at it, let's show two more important properties of the mutual information:
(b) Mutual information is symmetric: convince yourself that $I_{P}(X ; Y)=I_{P}(Y ; X)$.
(c) Mutual information measures "Information Gain": combine Eqs. 4 and 6 to show that the mutual information can also be expressed as follows (illustrated in the last three rows of the figure on page 1),

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{P}(X ; Y) & =H_{P}(X)-H_{P}(X \mid Y)  \tag{8}\\
& =H_{P}(Y)-H_{P}(Y \mid X) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note: Since $I_{P}(X ; Y) \geq 0$, Eq. 9 implies that $H_{P}(Y \mid X) \leq H(Y)$. Thus, while conditioning on a specific $X=x$ may increase the conditional entropy $H_{P}(Y \mid X=x)$ compared to $H_{P}(Y)$ (see Problem $4.3(\mathrm{~b})$ ), in expectation, conditioning can only decrease the entropy (or keep it unchanged at worst).

Interpretation of Eqs. 8-9: By the source coding theorem, the entropy $H_{P}(X)$ measures the expected number of bits that someone needs to tell us in order to communicate the value of $X$. Thus, we can interpret entropy as "amount of uncertainty" or "lack of information" that the receiver has before the communication takes place. Then, the interpretation of Eq. 8 is that the mutual information $I_{P}(X ; Y)$ measures by how much our uncertainty about $X$ decreases ( $=$ how much information we gain about $X$ ), in expectation, if someone tells us the value of $Y$. In fact, $I_{P}(X ; Y)$ is also called "information gain" in some contexts. This interpretation will become helpful when we discuss lossy compression. Analogously, according to Eq. $9, I_{P}(X ; Y)$ also measures how much information we gain about $Y$, in expectation, if someone tells us the value of $X$.
(d) Mutual information quantifies the degree of statistical dependency: what is the mutual information $I_{P}(X ; Y)$ if $X$ and $Y$ are statistically independent? Interpret this also in words using the above interpretation of mutual information: if $X$ and $Y$ are statistically independent (e.g., if they represent the red and the blue die in our Simplified Game of Monopoly), then how much do you learn about $X$ if someone tells you the value of $Y$, or vice versa?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ adapted from the book "Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms" by David MacKay.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is not really standard notation. In the literature, you may find the notation " $H(X, Y)$ " used for either the cross entropy or the joint entropy, depending on context.

